

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/996,977	11/20/2001	Benjamin R. Willemstyn		4707
759	90 12/17/2003		EXAMII	NER
ROBERT M. S	SKOLNIK		DEAK, LE	SLIE R
353 Monmouth 1	Road		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
West Long Branch, NJ 07764-0022			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	164

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	on No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/996,977 WILLEMSTYN, BENJAMIN R.		JAMIN R.
, <b>,</b>	Examiner	Art Unit	nd.
	Leslie R. Deak	3762	9
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repich places the application	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. It is significant to statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate exithe from the appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Si		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 10-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	~ ·	11.
10. Other:	C	Ingel. De	-X/ML
N 10 Sec03		angela D. Syk	ES

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700
Part of Paper No. 10

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request does not simplify the issues for appeal and was made after prosecution on the merits of the case had been closed. Arguments will be considered in a Request for Continued Examination.